

Application No. 10/791920  
Applicant: Isabel Vega Carrascal et al.

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This is in response to the official action dated March 15, 2006. Reconsideration in view of the following is respectfully requested.

The objection to claim 10 is corrected by deleting the offending phrase.

Claims 1 and 5 stand rejected as being anticipated by Sokol. Sokol teaches a composition comprising a cationic dye and benzyl urea. Claim 1 is amended to delete benzyl urea. Therefore, Sokol does not teach each and every element of claim as amended, namely a composition requiring benzyl carbamate and/or N-(2-methoxybenzyl). Therefore, it can not anticipate. Claim 5 is dependent from claim 1, and therefore not anticipated.

New claims 11-19 are added. Independent claim 11 comprises benzyl urea, but also requires an anionic dyestuff. As Sokol does not teach an anionic direct dye, it can not anticipate claims 11-19.

Wherefore, allowance of all claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
NORRIS MC LAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.



Bruce S. Londa  
Reg. No. 33,531  
875 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Phone: (212) 808-0700  
Fax: (212) 808-0844